# **Eigenvalue Stability Lab**

Today we will use an interactive mathlet to understand the concept of eigenvalue stability. Special thanks to Professor Haynes Miller and Jean-Michel Claus for their tireless efforts in putting this mathlet together.

NAME

1. Open the Eigenvalue Stability Mathlet Open the applet by navigating to

## http://math.mit.edu/~jmc/daimp/EigenvalueStability.html

in your favorite web browser. If nothing comes up, you may have to install/enable the Java plugin and relaunch your browser. It should only take a minute.

## 2. Stability Boundary

- (a) Select one of the available numerical integration schemes from the pull-down menu in the lower left, and check the Formula box below. This formula displays the amplification factor g as a function of  $z = \lambda \Delta t$ .
- (b) Choose two (2) values of  $\theta$  ( $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ ) in the range [0,  $2\pi$ ). These angles define two (2) points on the unit circle in the g-plane,  $q = e^{i\theta_1}$  and  $q = e^{i\theta_2}$ . In the space below, calculate the corresponding values of z ( $z_1$  and  $z_2$ ).

 $\theta_1 =$  \_\_\_\_\_  $\theta_2 =$ 

 $z_1 = \_$ 

 $z_2 =$ \_\_\_\_\_

- (c) Now grab the  $\theta$  slider in the upper right and, in turn, set it to each value of  $\theta$  you selected above. As you change  $\theta$  you see the yellow diamond in the main figure trace out the corresponding values of z (i.e., the stability boundary). Check your solution above by rolling over the yellow diamond in the main figure to see its complex coordinates.
- (d) Select a different numerical integration scheme. Drag the  $\theta$  slider from  $\theta = 0$  to  $\theta = 2\pi$  and observe how the stability boundary is traced out in the z-plane in real time.

#### 3. Eigenvalue Stability

- (a) Select either Forward Euler (RK1) or RK2 from the pull-down menu.
- (b) Consider the numerical solution of the following parameterized initial value problem:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -a & 2a \\ -a & -3a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad a \neq 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t \in [0, 10].$$

Find the eigenvalues as a function of the parameter a.

$$\lambda_1 =$$
 \_\_\_\_\_

(c) Let  $a = 2\sqrt{5}$ . For the method you selected in part (a), use the applet to determine the maximum allowable time step  $\Delta t$  which preserves eigenvalue stability.

 $\lambda_2 = \_$ 

 $\Delta t = \_$ 

(d) Computational resource restrictions and sufficient accuracy requirements demand that you use the time step  $\Delta t = 0.1$ . Find the range of the parameter *a* for which your numerical integration will be stable.

 $a \in [\_\_\_, \_\_]$ 

### 4. Pick A Scheme

For each of the following scenarios, suggest a numerical integration scheme from the set

{Forward Euler (RK1); Midpoint; Backwards Euler; Trapezoidal; RK2} and select the time step  $\Delta t$ . Provide a brief justification for your selection.

(a) The governing equation is  $u_t = f(u) = -u^3$  with initial condition u(0) = 1. We need second-order accuracy, but evaluations of f(u) are very expensive.

Method:  $\Delta t =$ 

(b) There are competing populations of goldfish G(t) and sharks S(t). We have dG/dt = G(1-S) and dS/dt = (G-1)S with G(0) = 1 and S(0) = 1. We are interested only in the qualitative behavior of the solution (i.e. accuracy is not paramount).

Method:  $\Delta t =$  \_\_\_\_\_

(c) The governing equation is for a damped oscillator,  $\theta_{tt} + \theta_t + \theta = 0$  with initial condition  $\theta(0) = \pi/4$ . Matrix inverses are disallowed, even in componentwise form. The output of interest is  $\theta(1)$ . We can only afford 50 matrix-vector multiplies.

Method:  $\Delta t =$